



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/499,450	02/07/2000	Theodore M. Garver	51-06 US CIP	. 1302
75	590 09/23/2003			
Freedman & Associates			EXAMINER	
117 Centrepointe Drive Suite 350		SMITH, ZANDRA V		
Nepean, ON k CANADA	K2G 5X3		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CAMADA			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 09/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Applicant(s) Office Action Summary Examiner Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Smith Art Unit Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Smith Art Unit Zandra V. Smith Art Unit Zandra V. Smith Art Unit Zandra V. Smith Ashortened Statutory Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3T CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If No period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-9.13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.					
Examiner Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Smith 2877 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003. 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Script Address Zandra V. Smith Zandra V. Sandra V. Script Address Zandra V. Sandra V. Script Addres					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If INO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003. 2a) Responsive to communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003 - 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. - 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ■ is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). - Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 June 2003. - This action is FINAL. - 2b) This action is non-final. 3) This action is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. - 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4) Claim(s) 3-9,13-26,32-34,38 and 39 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
, —					
ENDA Claim(a) 2 0 42 26 22 22 28 and 30 Islate allowed					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-9,13-26,32,33,38 and 39</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	n).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Clarke* (5,139,334) and *Yamaguchi et al* (EP 714,025 A1), and further in view of *Uetani et al*. (5,205,965).

As to claim 34, Clarke discloses a system for hydrocarbon analysis of a sample based on low resolution Raman spectral analysis, comprising;

irradiating a portion of a sample with laser light for generating a Raman spectrum; obtaining two measurements at two different wavenumbers form the Raman spectrum; and formulating a relationship by comparing a ratio (col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 20). Clarke differs from the claimed invention in that a potential of an oxidative reductive process is not determined, however Yamaguchi discloses a system for determining hydrogen peroxide by Raman scattering (title) and the amount of hydrogen peroxide in the sample is directly related to the oxidative reductive process. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the system of Clarke to determine a potential of an oxidative reductive process since the amount of hydrogen peroxide in the system is related to the oxidative process.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art Unit: 2877

In addition, Clark and Yamaguchi fail to specifically disclose that the sample includes molecules with elements that exist in one of a plurality of oxidation states, however Uetani discloses that in an oxidative process hydrogen peroxide has elements that exist in one of a plurality of oxidation states (col. 5, lines 32-40). Therefore, the limitation is inherently met.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-9, 13-26, 32-33, and 38-39 are allowable over the prior art of record.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious varying the amount of peroxyl ion by varying the pH of a solution, the particular oxidative molecules, determining a characteristic of a pulp, a third measurement, or expression of the non-linear relationship, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claim.

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendment of claim 34 does not overcome the prior art since the deleted limitation, hydrogen peroxide, was originally presented in a Markush group. Once an applicant presents a claim containing a Markush group, the group is regarded as a genus in that case and, thereafter, any reduction in its scope which is made solely for the purpose of eliminating a member anticipated by the prior art is usually unavailing unless it can be shown that the reduced group is patentably distinct from the originally presented grouping. *In re Ayres*, 1936 C.D. 468 or Ex parted Rutherford, 63 U.S.P.Q. 102.

Application/Control Number: 09/499,450

Art Unit: 2877

Fax/Telephone Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zandra V. Smith whose telephone number is (703) 305-7776. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703)308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0530.

Frimary Examiner
Art Unit 2877